

Citation for published version:

ter Laak, T, Kooij, PJF, Tolkamp, H & Hofman, J 2014, 'Different compositions of pharmaceuticals in Dutch and Belgian rivers explained by consumption patterns and treatment efficiency', *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, vol. 21, no. 22, pp. 12843-12855. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3233-9>

DOI:

[10.1007/s11356-014-3233-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3233-9)

Publication date:

2014

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

The final publication is available at Springer via: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3233-9>

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Different compositions of pharmaceuticals in Dutch and Belgian rivers explained by consumption
patterns and treatment efficiency

Authors

Thomas L. ter Laak^{1,2} Pascal J.F. Kooij¹ Harry Tolkamp^{1,3} and Jan Hofman¹

Affiliation (all authors)

1) KWR Watercycle Research Institute, P.O. Box 1072, 3430 BB, Nieuwegein, the Netherlands

2) Wageningen University, Sub department of Environmental Technology, P.O. Box 8129, 6700 EV
Wageningen, the Netherlands

3) Roer en Overmaas Regional Water Authority, P.O. Box 185 6130 AD Sittard, The Netherlands

Corresponding author:

Thomas L. ter Laak

KWR Watercycle Research Institute, P.O. Box 1072, 3430 BB Nieuwegein, the Netherlands

T: +31(0)625243017, E: thomas.ter.laak@kwrwater.nl; thomas.terlaak@wur.nl

Key words:

Pharmaceuticals, Transformation Products, Surface Water, Emission Imission Balance, Regional waters,
Belgium, The Netherlands

Abstract

In the current study 43 pharmaceuticals and 18 transformation products were studied in the river Meuse at the Belgian-Dutch border and four tributaries of the river Meuse in the southern part of the Netherlands. The tributaries originate from Belgian, Dutch and mixed Dutch and Belgian catchments. In total, 24 pharmaceuticals and 13 transformation products were observed in samples of river water collected from these rivers. Observed summed concentrations of pharmaceuticals and transformation products in river water ranged from 3.5 to 37.8 µg/L. Metformin and its transformation product guanylhurea contributed with 53 to 80 % to this concentration, illustrating its importance on a mass basis. Data on the flow rate of different rivers and demographics of the catchments enabled us to calculate daily per capita loads of pharmaceuticals and transformation products. These loads were linked to sales data of pharmaceuticals in the catchment. Simple mass balance modelling accounting for human excretion and removal by sewage treatment plants revealed that sales could predict actual loads within a factor three for most pharmaceuticals. Rivers that originated from Belgian and mixed Dutch and Belgian catchments revealed significantly higher per capita loads of pharmaceuticals (16.0 ± 2.3 and 15.7 ± 2.1 mg/inhabitant/day, respectively) than the Dutch catchment (8.7 ± 1.8 mg/inhabitant/day). Furthermore, the guanylhurea /metformin ratio was significantly lower in waters originating from Belgium (and France) than in those from the Netherlands, illustrating that sewage treatment in the Belgian catchment is less efficient in transforming metformin into guanylhurea. In summary, the current study shows that consumption based modeling is suitable to predict environmental loads and concentrations. Furthermore, different consumption patterns and wastewater treatment efficiency are clearly reflected in the occurrence and loads of pharmaceuticals in regional rivers.

Introduction

Numerous studies describe the presence of pharmaceuticals in the aqueous environment (Monteiro and Boxall, 2010; Roig, 2010). These pharmaceuticals can originate from human consumption, veterinary consumption, aquaculture or (accidental) emissions during production (Larsson et al., 2007) and transport of pharmaceuticals. Consumed human pharmaceuticals are excreted by humans via urine and feces. They are subsequently transported to sewage treatment facilities and are (partially) emitted to surface waters. However not all consumed pharmaceuticals end up in the environment since they can be metabolized in the human body. After excretion, pharmaceuticals and metabolites can be further transformed or sorbed in sewage systems, sewage treatment plants (active sludge) and receiving waters. The rates and nature of the metabolism, transformation and sorption depend on the chemical properties of the pharmaceutical, the physiology of the person using the medication and environmental conditions in wastewater treatment and receiving waters. While parent pharmaceuticals have been studied intensively in the environment over the last decades, metabolites and transformation products (later all referred to as transformation products) have drawn less attention in monitoring and risk assessment (Escher and Fenner, 2011).

Databases on the sales of pharmaceuticals and extensive knowledge of excretion and metabolism, stability and sorption in commonly applied sewage treatment systems, and stability and sorption in receiving waters enables one to relate sales to loads in surface waters (Castiglioni et al., 2004; Liebig et al., 2006; Alder et al., 2010; ter Laak et al., 2010; Kugathas et al., 2012). Furthermore, pharmaceutical consumption varies between regions (Van den Berg Jeths and Van Batenburg-Eddes, 2003) and countries (Mossialos et al., 2004), while sewage treatment practice and environmental conditions differ between regions, countries (Boyjoo et al., 2013) and seasons (Sui et al., 2011). Regional consumption data and knowledge on sewage treatment practice can guide monitoring efforts in different regions, countries or seasons and define 'hot spots' where environmental risks are the highest. Furthermore, it

can be applied to model regional emissions and predict emissions under different consumption-, waste water treatment- and river discharge scenarios.

The assessment of water quality for the ecosystem health and for drinking water production, can benefit from knowledge of regional sources, emissions and occurrence of pharmaceuticals. Additionally, it can benefit from knowledge on the formation and occurrence of transformation products. In the present work, three approaches are defined to gain knowledge and assess the fate and behaviour of pharmaceuticals and transformation products in the environment.

First, ratios of pharmaceuticals and transformation products were studied in order to reveal whether ratios between parent compounds and their products are stable and might allow prediction of one concentration from the other. Ratios between different pharmaceuticals were not studied, but this might be of interest in future research. Secondly, the occurrence of pharmaceuticals and transformation products was studied and linked to available sales data to reveal whether sales data are suitable to predict loads in regional surface waters. Finally, the composition of pharmaceuticals in different rivers fed by sewage from Belgium and the Netherlands were qualitatively and quantitatively evaluated to reveal differences between countries.

Material and method

Sampling locations

Samples were taken in the river Meuse catchment of the province of Limburg, the most southern province of the Netherlands (Figure 1). Water from the river Meuse was sampled near Eijsden where the river Meuse crosses the border between Belgium and the Netherlands. Four tributaries of the river Meuse i.e. the river Jeker, the river Geul and the river Geleenbeek and river Slijbeek (later referred to as the Jeker, Geul, Geleenbeek and Slijbeek) were sampled near the point where they flow into the river Meuse or into a channel that is connected to the river Meuse (i.e. Slijbeek).

FIGURE 1

Table 1 shows the median, minimum and maximum flow rates of the selected rivers. Furthermore, the number of sewage treatment plants discharging to the different waters and the number inhabitants of the catchments are listed. These data are used to predict loads of pharmaceuticals in the different waters and relate predicted loads to monitoring data.

TABLE 1

Each of the five locations was sampled in 2011 on November the 23rd, November the 30th, December the 7th and December the 12th 2011 between 9:00 and 13:00 by grab sampling. Water was collected in 1000 mL ultra-clean dark green glass bottles and directly stored at 4°C for a maximum of 4 weeks. Further details can be found in Table S1, of Supplementary Information. Grab sampling was used because time integrated sampling was not feasible at the sampling locations.

Pharmaceuticals and transformation products

Samples were analysed for 43 pharmaceuticals and 18 transformation products. The pharmaceuticals were selected based on consumption, occurrence in the environment, physicochemical properties, their availability as standards and whether they can be analysed (de Voogt et al., 2009). Pharmaceuticals and transformation products were obtained from Sigma Aldrich, AK Scientific, Frinton Laboratories and CHEMOS. The purity of the chemicals was > 97 %, except for clindamycin (88.3 %), erythromycin (95 %) and N-acetyl-4-aminoantipyrine (97 %). Deuterated (internal) standards (Atenolol-d7, Atrazine-d5, Bentazone-d6, Benzotriazool-d4, Carbamazepine-d10, Fluoxetine-d5, Gemfibrozil-d6, Metformin-d6, Paracetamol-d3, Phenazone-d3 and Sulfamethoxazole-d4) were obtained from CDN Isotopes. Detailed information on the pharmaceuticals studied is listed in Table S2 of the Supplementary Information.

Analysis of pharmaceuticals and transformation products in water

Aqueous samples were filtered over a 0.20 µm regenerated cellulose filter (Whatman, Spartan 30/B). 100 µL of the filtrated water samples (spiked with 0.5 µg/L internal standards) were directly injected on a Thermo Scientific TSQ Vantage LC-MS/MS equipped with an Accela autosampler and pump. The LC system was equipped with either a reversed phase column, *i.e.* a 100x2.1 mm Hypersil Gold column with a particle size of 1.9 µm (Thermo Scientific) or with a ZIC HILIC column, *i.e.* a 100x2.1 mm ZIC-HILIC column with 3.5 µm particle size. The temperature of the columns was held constant at 25 °C. An ESI interface was used to ionize the compounds and analysis was performed both in positive and negative ionization mode. All pharmaceuticals were analyzed using reversed phase chromatography, except for metformin and guanylyurea which were analyzed using the HILIC column. Details on LC conditions and gradients are listed in the “Description of chemical analysis” of the Supplementary Information. The ratio of the responses of at least two mass transitions were used for positive identification of the individual compounds. The average response of these two masses was used for quantification and comparison with external calibration standards (Mezcua et al., 2009). All analysis were performed within one

analytical run and blanks and external standards were added every ten samples to monitor analytical performance.

Limits of quantification were generally 0.01 µg/L, except for 4-acetaminophen sulphate, cortisol, cortisone and erythromicine A (all 0.025 µg/L), O-desmethyl naproxen, paroxetine, prednisolone, metformin and guanylsurea (all 0.050 µg/L), norfluoxetine, hydroxy ibuprofen and ciprofloxacin (all 0.50 µg/L) and salicylic acid (> 5.0 µg/L) . No corrections were made for recoveries as most recoveries of detected compounds were between 80 and 120 %. Details can be found in Table S2 of the Supplementary Information.

Calculating emissions from monitoring data

Flow rate data with a 15 min (Jeker, Geul, Geleenbeek) or 1 h (Meuse) interval were obtained from regional water authorities. Flow rates showed some scatter in time, therefore, the total water flow over a period of 24h (q_{day}) at the sampling date was multiplied with the observed concentration of the pharmaceutical or TP ($C_{pharmaceutical}$) to calculate the daily load (Q_{day}):

$$Q_{day} = C_{pharmaceutical} \cdot q_{day} \quad \text{eq. 1}$$

Furthermore, loads per inhabitant (Q_{inhab}) were calculated to compare emissions of inhabitants from different regions according to:

$$Q_{inhab} = \frac{Q_{day}}{n_{inhab}} \quad \text{eq. 2}$$

For the Slijbeek no flow rate data were available, so loads could not be calculated.

Predicting emissions from sales data

Sales data of pharmaceuticals in 2011 were obtained from the Dutch Foundation for Pharmaceutical Statistics (SFK) and the Belgian National Institute for Disease- and Disability-Insurance (RIZIV). It was assumed that the consumption of the inhabitants of the Geleenbeek and Geul catchment corresponded

to the Dutch and combined Dutch and Belgian national average, respectively. This assumption seems valid since the sales of pharmaceuticals in the region that covers the Geleenbeek basin and the Dutch part of the Geul basin is near 100 % (106 %) of the Dutch average (van Batenburg-Eddes et al., 2002). For the Jeker catchment, regional sales data of 91,645 out of the 101,535 (Directorate General Statistics and Economic Information, 2013) inhabitants in 2011 were obtained. Here it was assumed that the consumption of the 10 % missing inhabitants was the same as for inhabitants of which sales data were obtained.

Finally, for all catchments, it was assumed that all residents of the catchment discharge all their sewage via sewage treatment plants in their catchment of residence. This assumption might not always be correct since commuting, traveling (holidays) the use of septic tanks and emissions of untreated sewage can bias the actual discharge. There are however no suitable data to correct for these biases.

Daily emitted loads ($Q_{day-pred}$) were estimated using respective annual sales data (Q_{year}) of 2011, the fraction that passes the Sewage Treatment Plant (f_{STP}) and the excretion rate by humans (fraction of consumption excreted, f_{Excr}) according to the following equation:

$$Q_{day-pred} = \frac{Q_{year}}{365} \cdot f_{Excr} \cdot f_{STP} \quad \text{eq.3}$$

The STP removal rates and human excretion rates are listed in Table 2 en the Dutch and Belgian Q_{year} values are listed in Table S3 of the Supplementary Information. Generic removal rates are used to predict emissions since there were no regional of STP-specific removal rates available. It should be noted that the number of inhabitants in the Jeker catchment exceeded the inhabitant equivalents of the STPs due to insufficient capacity of the some treatment plants and the fact that not all wastewater is treated in STPs (SPGE, 2006). So the applied generic removal rates in Table 2 might overestimate actual removal of some pharmaceuticals for the Jeker catchment.

Results and discussion

Analytical results

24 pharmaceuticals and 13 transformation products were observed in the surface waters. Figure 2 shows the concentration ranges of the pharmaceuticals observed and the percentage of positive detections (%) in all samples.

FIGURE 2

The transformation product guanylurea and its parent pharmaceutical metformin show the highest concentrations in surface waters. Furthermore, high concentrations of transformation products such as hydroxy ibuprofen, transdiol carbamazepine, acetaminophen sulphate and desmethyl tramadol are observed. These data show that transformation products can form a relevant proportion of the total pharmaceutical load of surface waters.

Grab sampling

Grab sampling during late autumn might not provide a representative 'average' sample since the emissions of STP show both daily and seasonal fluctuations in pharmaceutical emissions that are related to variations in consumption and treatment performance (ter Laak et al., 2010). The daily variations in pharmaceutical emissions in the Geul and Geleenbeek are likely of minor impact since samples were taken 25 to 34 km downstream of the STP effluents, which is roughly equivalent to 0.5 to 1.0 days residence time (Personal Communication, Harry Tolkamp, Roer en Overmaas Regional Water Authority). The length of the Jeker is 65 km and there are twenty STPs with a total capacity of just over 100,000 inhabitant equivalents along its catchment. Additionally , ten STPs with a total capacity of approximately 35.000 to 40.000 I.E. are planned since various communities are not yet connected to sewage treatment and currently emit untreated wastewater into the Jeker (SPGE, 2006). Hence, for the

Geleenbeek, Geul and Jeker, sufficient mixing of the flow rates with relatively pristine river water had occurred before the actual sampling was performed. Contrastingly, the Slijbeek is fed by a single STP located only few km upstream from the sampling location. This sample can therefore be strongly influenced by daily fluctuations of concentrations of pharmaceuticals, so these data should be interpreted with care.

Pharmaceuticals and transformation products in surface waters

Figure 3 shows the ratios of the concentrations of the transformation products (TPs) versus its parent pharmaceutical (PP) on a molar basis for the different rivers. The data of the Geul catchment (n=4) are excluded from the data shown in Figure 3 as concentrations of pharmaceuticals and/or transformation products were often close to limits of quantification, revealing less accurate ratios than for the other sampling locations. Constant ratios enable to estimate concentrations of transformation products from the concentration of the parent molecule or *vice versa*.

FIGURE 3

Metformin is excreted unchanged by humans (Van Loenen, 2008). Guanyurea is formed during sewage treatment (Scheurer et al., 2009; Scheurer et al., 2012; Oosterhuis et al., 2013). Likely, similar processes occur in surface waters. However, there are no studies that have illustrated and quantified metformin break down rates in the aqueous environment. There is only sparse circumstantial evidence that suggests that rates in the environment are much lower than in STPs (Oosterhuis et al., 2013). Additional information on environmental degradation of this compound is required to improve predictions on its environmental fate.

A study of eight Dutch sewage treatment plants revealed that 53 % to 98 % of metformin is removed during treatment (Vergouwen et al., 2011). Furthermore, Oosterhuis et al (2013) reported up to 99 % metformin removal by secondary treatment with a membrane bioreactor and that 91 % and 85% of the

metformin in the influent was recovered as guanylylurea in the STP effluent and receiving water, respectively.

The current data show a large variation in the ratio of metformin and guanylylurea in surface waters. The average TP/PP ratio for these compounds is 8.7 with a 95 % confidence interval of 1.2 to 16.4 (n=16). Large differences are observed between and within rivers (Figure 3). The TP/PP ratio in the Slijbeek, that is fed by a single Dutch STP varied from 3.4 to 40.1. Similar observations were made in the Geleenbeek that is fed by three Dutch STPs. The samples taken from the river Meuse at Eijsen (Belgian-Dutch border) and Jeker that are fed by Belgian sewage effluents show much lower TP/PP ratios of 0.55 ± 0.28 .

For both the Geleenbeek and Slijbeek a clear difference in TP/PP ratio of metformin and guanylylurea between the end of dry period (first two samples) and wet period (last two samples) was observed. In the dry period the average TP/PP ratio was 30.1 with a standard deviation of ± 14.8 (n=4) and in the wet period a significantly lower TP/PP ratio of 3.7 with a standard deviation of ± 2.5 (n=4) was observed (T-test, p-value 0.013). The river Meuse and Jeker samples also show significantly different ratios during dry and wet conditions as well (0.77 ± 0.20 and 0.33 ± 0.11 respectively, T-test, p-value 0.007). Possibly rainfall events, that increase flow of wastewater and reduce hydraulic retention times in treatment plants, and slightly lower temperatures during the last two sampling dates (surface water temperature 7.6 to 8.7 °C vs. 8.3 to 10.1 °C) affected the metformin transformation into guanylylurea.

This illustrates that the removal of metformin and the formation of guanylylurea varies between rivers (or STPs) and with environmental conditions. The limited number of samples and variable ratios do not allow for a reliable estimation of concentrations of the transformation products from analysis of the parent molecule.

For carbamazepine, four human metabolites were observed in the surface waters. Interestingly, the molar ratios of the TPs and PP is similar within and between different surface waters (Figure 3). The TP/PP ratio of 10,11-transdiol carbamazepine is 2.7 with a narrow 95 % confidence interval of 2.6 to 2.9 (n=15). The average TP/PP ratios of the 3-hydroxy carbamazepine, 2-hydroxy carbamazepine and epoxy carbamazepine are 0.20 (95 % CL 0.18 to 0.22, n=15), 0.17 (95 % CL 0.15 to 0.18, n=15) and 0.12 (95 % CL 0.11 to 0.13, n=15), respectively. Figure 4 illustrates the fraction of the consumed carbamazepine that can be retrieved as parent pharmaceutical and the abovementioned transformation products in the surface water of two catchments.

FIGURE 4

Fractions of carbamazepine and transformation products recovered in surface waters appear to be slightly lower but show a strikingly similar pattern to the fractions of these metabolites excreted in urine (Eichelbaum et al., 1985). The excretion of 10,11-transdiol carbamazepine in mono-therapy and therapy combined with other anticonvulsants ranges from 26.5 to 49.9 % of the consumed amount. In this study 20.2 to 31.5 % of the consumed carbamazepine could be recovered as 10,11-transdiol carbamazepine in surface waters. The excretion of 3-hydroxy carbamazepine in urine is 3.0 to 4.2 %, while 1.6 to 2.0 % was recovered surface waters in this study. For 2-hydroxy carbamazepine these values amounted to 2.4 to 3.1 % vs. 1.2 to 2.0 %, and for epoxy carbamazepine 1.1 to 1.5 % vs. 0.8 to 1.3 %.

Renal excretion rates of carbamazepine and its transformation products is well studied (Eichelbaum et al., 1985), but the composition of the fraction that is excreted via feces is (to our knowledge) unknown. In this study 6.3 to 10.3 % of the consumed carbamazepine amount is recovered in receiving surface waters. The recalcitrance of carbamazepine in the sewage treatment and environment (Heberer, 2002) and the stable ratios of the various transformation products that largely correspond to renal excretion

suggest that the metabolites are also recalcitrant in sewage treatment and the environment. These constant ratios allow for highly accurate estimation of concentrations of the transformation products from analysis of the carbamazepine and *vice versa*.

The ratio of tramadol and the human metabolite O-desmethyl tramadol observed in surface waters in the present study is constant. The average TP/PP ratio for these compounds is 0.55 with a 95 % confidence interval of 0.49 to 0.62 (n=14). This is very similar to ratios observed in surface waters of earlier studies (de Jongh et al., 2012) and fall within ratios observed in human urine that range from 0.26-0.75 (Lintz et al., 1981; Ardakani Y. H. and R., 2009; Chitil et al., 2009). Apparently, both tramadol and O-desmethyl tramadol are rather recalcitrant and stable ratio is retained in the urban water cycle. This stable ratio enables one to accurately estimate concentrations of O-desmethyl tramadol from analysis of tramadol and *vice versa*.

The average TP/PP ratio of α -hydroxy metoprolol is 0.15 with a 95 % confidence interval of 0.11 to 0.20 (n=11). This ratio is slightly lower than the observed metabolic ratio of 3.1 ± 2.5 that equals a TP/PP ratio of 0.32 (Tu and Zhao, 1995). Minor differences might be explained by either higher removal of α -hydroxy metoprolol during sewage treatment or additional metoprolol excretion via feces that was not accounted for in the cited study. The average TP/PP of sulfamethoxazole and its product N4-acetyl sulfamethoxazole observed in amounts to 0.66 with a 95 % confidence interval of 0.42 to 0.89 (n=15). This TP/PP is one fifth of the reported human excretion ratio of 3.15 (Lienert et al., 2007). Possibly, the acetylated sulfamethoxazole is partially removed or transformed during sewage treatment processes and in surface water. Partial removal or transformation during sewage treatment (Verlicchi et al., 2012) might explain why the TP/PP of metoprolol and sulfamethoxazole and their respective human metabolites show more variation than carbamazepine and tramadol. Unfortunately, there are, to our knowledge, no literature data on STP removal rates of the metabolites to verify this hypothesis. Nevertheless, the TP/PP of sulfamethoxazole and metoprolol appear rather stable and allow to

estimate concentrations of the transformation products from analysis of the parent molecule and *vice versa* within a factor of two.

Apparently, the average metabolism of pharmaceuticals by a large population of humans is stable while transformation and sorption processes further down the urban water cycle result in more variable removal rates for some pharmaceuticals. Considering this observation, it is relevant to investigate temperature, oxygen concentration, combination of oxic and anoxic conditions, redox potential, residence time in various treatment processes, composition of the water, applied treatment techniques (composition of) biodegraders in active sludge and adsorption processes on the removal of pharmaceuticals during sewage treatment (Onesios et al., 2009; Pomiès et al., 2013). The TP/PP ratio might be a suitable parameter to monitor such processes and reveal parameters that affect transformation rates. Finally, the potential risks of both parent pharmaceuticals and transformation products need to be assessed for a proper environmental risk assessment.

Loads of pharmaceuticals and transformation products

Loads were calculated for all pharmaceuticals and transformation products that were observed during all four sampling dates.

FIGURE 5

The loads are predicted by multiplying the consumed pharmaceuticals by the predicted fraction excreted by the user and the fraction remaining during STP passage (Eq. 3 and Table 2), as discussed in the paragraph “Calculating emissions from monitoring data” above (ter Laak et al., 2010). Figures 5a-c show the observed daily loads of pharmaceuticals versus the predicted loads. Not all observed pharmaceuticals listed in Figure 2 were included since for some of these either Dutch or Belgian sales data were lacking.

342

343 Figures 5a-c show that for some pharmaceuticals the measured loads exceed predicted loads at one or
344 more locations. The largest of these underestimations are observed for the analgesic / anti-
345 inflammatory pharmaceuticals naproxen, ketoprofen and paracetamol. These underestimations are
346 likely explained by additional 'over the counter' sales that are not registered by the Belgian and Dutch
347 monitoring organizations since they are not only sold and documented by official pharmacies nor
348 reimbursed by health insurance. Furthermore, the actual load of the lipid regulator gemfibrozil, is
349 underestimated by a factor three in both the Geleenbeek and Geul . There is no obvious explanation for
350 this underestimation. Gemfibrozil is, however, known to be excreted in conjugated forms (Dix et al.,
351 1999). Potentially these conjugates are de-conjugated during sewage treatment and in the
352 environment, resulting in higher loads of the parent pharmaceutical in receiving waters. Finally,
353 lincomycin, a lincosamide antibiotic, shows a higher concentration in the Jeker than predicted from
354 human consumption. Lincomycin is also used in veterinary practice, so emissions from veterinary use in
355 the Jeker catchment potentially resulted in additional loads in the river.

356

357 Carbamazepine and three antibiotics (sulfamethoxazole, erythromycin A and metronidazole) show a 2
358 to 4 fold lower concentration than predicted from sales. The overestimation of the concentrations of
359 the antibiotics might be related to uncertainties in the reported removal rates by sewage treatment or
360 metabolism, since the removal in these two processes is both relevant (Table 2). The consistent factor
361 overestimation of carbamazepine concentrations cannot be explained by uncertainties in reported
362 removal rates because carbamazepine is very persistent in sewage treatment and the environment
363 (Clara et al., 2004). Oosterhuis (2013) monitored carbamazepine concentrations in sewage influent,
364 effluent and receiving surface waters and compared these to their local sales data. A similar
365 overestimation was observed in this study, (Oosterhuis et al., 2013). The predicted environmental loads
366 calculated in both studies are based upon excretion rates obtained from Lienert et al (Lienert et al.,
367 2007). Lienert justly discusses the relevance of fecal excretion of carbamazepine for emissions into the

environment, a factor that is often neglected in pharmacological studies. There is, however, no data supporting the assumption that the fecal excretion is solely as parent pharmaceutical. The observed loads in the surface waters and ratio with transformation products in the present study suggest that the total excretion of non-metabolized carbamazepine is rather around 10 % than the 26 % proposed by Lienert et al. or the 1 to 3 % found in urine (Lienert et al., 2007). However, additional studies are required to test this hypothesis.

In this study the discrepancies between observed and predicted loads are a result of analytical uncertainties (small), uncertainties introduced by grab sampling (variable, depends on sampling location and sampling date and time), regional variation in consumption (generally small within countries, larger between countries), seasonal variations in consumption (relevant for some pharmaceuticals), uncertainties in sales data (relevant for some pharmaceuticals), transformation and excretion rates (relevant for some pharmaceuticals, fecal excretion is generally studied less) variations in removal efficiencies of sewage treatment (can strongly vary within and between treatment plants). Discrepancies in the order of a factor three are therefore likely to be observed (Alder et al., 2010; ter Laak et al., 2010). Local data on consumption, excretion and treatment specific removal rates as well as improved sampling during different seasons can increase the accuracy of the prediction to some extent, but a certain degree of uncertainty will remain.

Per capita emission of pharmaceuticals and transformation products

The loads of the pharmaceuticals and transformation products present in all datasets are normalized per inhabitant and presented in Figure 6. The data illustrate that the per capita load of pharmaceuticals is higher for the Geul and Jeker compared to the Geleenbeek catchment. The per capita loads of the Slijbeek were not calculated since there were no flow rate data available. The loads in the river Meuse were not calculated because loads can't be directly linked to sales, since this is a complex water system

with variable flow rates between the river Meuse and various canals that are fed by the river Meuse and circumvent the sampling location at the Belgian-Dutch border.

FIGURE 6

Comparing per capita emissions from Belgium and the Netherlands

The Geul and Jeker showed a significantly higher per capita load of pharmaceuticals and transformation products than Geleenbeek (Figure 6). The Geul and Jeker (also) transport effluents from Belgian origin. This suggests that more pharmaceuticals are used in Belgium and/or that removal by sewage treatment in the Belgian part of the catchment is less efficient or not present (SPGE, 2006). The Geleenbeek and Jeker were selected for a more detailed comparison, since the Geul is fed by both Dutch and Belgian sewage effluents which might result in ambiguous data.

FIGURE 7

In Figure 7 per capita loads of the Belgian (Jeker) and Dutch (Geleenbeek) populations are plotted against each other. Since most of the data points in Figure 7 are situated at the right hand side of the 1:1 line (diagonal in Figure 7), most pharmaceuticals and transformation products show a higher *per capita* load from the Belgian population. This corresponds to higher total *per capita* loads shown in Figure 6. Only a few pharmaceuticals and transformation products show higher *per capita* loads from the Dutch population. Part of the differences in *per capita* loads can be explained by differences in pharmaceutical consumption in Belgium and the Netherlands. For example, Dutch per capita sales of metoprolol are eight times higher than Belgian sales (SFK & RIZIV). This is reflected in the higher *per capita* load of both metoprolol and α -hydroxy metoprolol in the Geleenbeek. Furthermore, the two fold higher *per capita* load of furosemide in the Geleenbeek compared to the Jeker also corresponds to differences in sales in the Netherlands and Belgium (SFK & RIZIV). Finally, the absence of the lipid

regulator gemfibrozil in waters originating from Belgium (Meuse and Jeker) can be explained by the fact that it is not prescribed and reimbursed in Belgium (Belgian Centre for Pharmacotherapeutic Information CFI, 2014).

On the other hand, the *per capita* loads of metformin, tramadol and its de-methylated transformation product, venlafaxine, naproxen, paracetamol, propranolol, ketoprofen and metronidazole exceed the Dutch *per capita* load over a factor three. For propranolol, tramadol and venlafaxine, the higher *per capita* loads can be explained by higher consumption in Belgium. Contrastingly, the consumption of metformin is very similar in both countries (SFK en RIZIV). Probably the higher *per capita* load of metformin is explained by absence or less efficient of treatment of part of the Belgian sewage. This hypothesis is supported by the lower formation of guanylurea/metformin ratio in waters from Belgium (see paragraph “Pharmaceuticals and transformation products in surface waters”). The higher *per capita* loads for the analgesics and anti-inflammatory pharmaceuticals ketoprofen, naproxen, paracetamol and ibuprofen (hydroxy ibuprofen is detected) are more difficult to explain from sales data since part of these pharmaceuticals are sold ‘over the counter’ without being registered.

Implications

The current study illustrates that there are regional differences in pharmaceutical loads and concentrations in different catchments can be related to differences in sales patterns and absence of sewage treatment. A better understanding of the relation between consumption, excretion processes and removal or transformation efficiencies of STPs, emissions and further dilution, sorption and transformation in rivers and river systems enables to optimize use of (limited) monitoring activities. For example, relations between the occurrence of pharmaceuticals and transformation products and potentially even between different pharmaceuticals or between sales data and occurrence data can extend the application of monitoring data. Furthermore, knowledge on transformation processes and

transformation products generated in sewage treatment also enables one to monitor and potentially interpret sewage treatment efficiency and further transformation in surface waters.

Conclusions

- Transformation products represent a relevant fraction of the total concentration of pharmaceuticals in surface waters
- Stable ratios between pharmaceuticals and their transformation products allow estimation of concentrations from one to the other
- Variable ratios of metformin and guanylurea might be applied as an indicator of sewage treatment performance and discharge of untreated sewage
- Sales data, excretion from the body and removal in STPs can be used to estimate loads in surface waters for most pharmaceuticals
- per capita loads of most pharmaceuticals from Belgium are significantly higher than those from the Netherlands
- Information on (regional) sales or consumption of pharmaceuticals and transformation products can provide a better qualitative and quantitative understanding of their occurrence in surface waters

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by Waterleiding Maatschappij Limburg (WML), Roer & Overmaas Regional Water Authority, Peel & Maasvallei Regional Water Authority, the Waterschapsbedrijf, the joint research program of the Dutch drinking water companies (BTO) and Stichting Toegepast Onderzoek Waterbeheer (STOWA). The authors like to thank Meindert de Graaf for sampling and Rosa Sjerps for designing Figure 1. Furthermore, Peter van Diepenbeek, Leo Puijker and the rest of the “Limburg Consortium” are acknowledged for their constructive comments during the project. Jan Dirk Kroon and Joos Tielemans are thanked for sharing their knowledge on the consumption, prescription and

470 application of human pharmaceuticals, and Pim de Voogt, Annemieke Kolkman, Alette Langenhoff and
471 Gertjan Zwolsman are thanked for constructive comments on the manuscript.
472

Figure captions

Figure 1: Sampling locations. Slijbeek (1), Geleenbeek (2), Geul (3), Jeker (4) and Meuse at the Belgian-Dutch border (5)

Figure 2: Box/whisker plots of the concentration ranges and detection frequency of pharmaceuticals and transformation products in all surface water samples (n=20). The boxes represent the 25 to 75 percentile with the median value indicated in the center, the whiskers give maximum and minimum values.

Figure 3: Box/whisker plots of the molar ratio between concentration of transformation products (TP) and their parent pharmaceutical (PP) in surface waters (TP/PP). For each location four samples were used. The exceptions are; carbamazepine ratios in de Meuse (n=3), tramadol ratios in the Slijbeek and Meuse (n=3), metoprolol ratios in the Jeker (n=3) and Meuse (n=0) and sulfamethoxazole ratios in the Slijbeek (n=3). The boxes represent median and 25 and 75% and the whiskers give maximum and minimum values.

The following abbreviations are used in the figure: MET = metformin, GA = guanyurea, CBZ = carbamazepine, 10,11-DiOH CBZ = 10,11-trans diol carbamazepine, 3-OH CBZ = 3-hydroxy carbamazepine, 2-OH CBZ = 2-hydroxy carbamazepine, epoxy CBZ = epoxy carbamazepine, SMZ = sulfamethoxazole, N4-AC SMZ = N4-acetyl sulfamethoxazole, TRM = tramadol, O-DM TRM = O-desmethyl tramadol, MTL = metoprolol and α -OH MTL = α -hydroxy metoprolol.

Figure 4: Fraction of the sold carbamazepine retrieved in surface water of the Jeker and Geleenbeek

Figure 5a-c: Measured vs. predicted loads of pharmaceuticals for the Geleenbeek (a) Jeker (b) and Geul (c) catchment. The solid line represents the 1:1 relation and the dashed lines are the 1:3 and 3:1 relation of measured and predicted loads. The error bars represent standard deviations

Figure 6: Box/whisker plots of the daily load per inhabitant with (A) and without (B) metformin and guanlyurea. It should be noted that metformin and its transformation product account for 53 to 79 % of the total load in the abovementioned rivers. The figure only depicts the pharmaceuticals that were observed in 100 % of all samples of the tributaries. The broken lines indicate statistical differences (T-test p -value <0.01) between the values of the Geleenbeek and the Geul and the Geleenbeek and the Jeker. No statistical differences between Geul and Jeker were observed (p -value > 0.05).

Figure 7: Per capita loads of pharmaceuticals, the Geleenbeek from the Netherlands vs. the Jeker from Belgium. The solid line represents the 1:1 relation and the dashed lines are the 1:3 and 3:1 relation of measured and predicted loads. The error bars represent standard deviations

literature of figures, do not include these lines in the manuscript, it is solely used to correctly update the

literature list using Endnote

(SPGE, 2006; Van Loenen, 2008; RIWA Maas, 2009) (Carr et al., 1992) (Lienert et al., 2007) (Ardakani Y. H. and R., 2009) (Prescott, 1980) (Howell et al., 1993) (European Medicines Agency, 1998) (Lamp et al., 1999) (Vergouwen et al., 2011) (Wick et al., 2009) (Castiglioni et al., 2006) (Radjenovic et al., 2007) (Rúa-Gómez and Püttmann, 2012) (Radjenovic et al., 2007) (Miege et al., 2009) (Watkinson et al., 2007) (Rosal et al., 2010) (European Medicines Agency, 1997; Grubb et al., 1999)

Literature

- Alder, A.C., Schaffner, C., Majewsky, M., Klasmeier, J., Fenner, K., 2010. Fate of β -blocker human pharmaceuticals in surface water: Comparison of measured and simulated concentrations in the Glatt Valley Watershed, Switzerland. *Water Research* 44, 936-948.
- Ardakani Y. H., R., R.M., 2009. Pharmacokinetic study of tramadol and its three metabolites in plasma, saliva and urine. *DARU* 17, 245-255.
- Belgian Centre for Pharmacotherapeutic Information (Belgisch Centrum voor Farmacotherapeutische Informatie, BCFI) "Hypolipemiërende middelen" June 2014 (<http://www.bcfi.be>)
- Boyjoo, Y., Pareek, V.K., Ang, M., 2013. A review of greywater characteristics and treatment processes. *Water Science and Technology* 67, 1403-1424.
- Carr, R.A., Foster, R.T., Lewanczuk, R.Z., Hamilton, P.G., 1992. Pharmacokinetics of sotalol enantiomers in humans. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 32, 1105-1109.
- Castiglioni, S., Bagnati, R., Fanelli, R., Pomati, F., Calamari, D., Zuccato, E., 2006. Removal of pharmaceuticals in sewage treatment plants in Italy. *Environmental Science and Technology* 40, 357-363.
- Castiglioni, S., Fanelli, R., Calamari, D., Bagnati, R., Zuccato, E., 2004. Methodological approaches for studying pharmaceuticals in the environment by comparing predicted and measured concentrations in River Po, Italy. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology* 39, 25-32.
- Chitil, L., Sticha, M., Matouskova, O., Perlik, F., Slanar, O., 2009. Enantiomeric determination of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol in human urine by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography B* 877, 1937-1942.
- Clara, M., Strenn, B., Kreuzinger, N., 2004. Carbamazepine as a possible anthropogenic marker in the aquatic environment: Investigations on the behaviour of Carbamazepine in wastewater treatment and during groundwater infiltration. *Water research* 38, 947-954.
- de Jongh, C.M., Kooij, P.J.F., de Voogt, P., ter Laak, T.L., 2012. Screening and human health risk assessment of pharmaceuticals and their transformation products in Dutch surface waters and drinking water. *Science of the Total Environment* 427-428, 70-77.
- de Voogt, P., Janex-Habibi, M.-L., Sacher, F., Puijker, L.M., Mons, M., 2009. Development of a common priority list of pharmaceuticals relevant for the water cycle. *Water Sci Technol* 59, 39-46.

547 Directorate General Statistics and Economic Information (DGSEI) "Statistics Belgium" December 2013.
 548 (<http://statbel.fgov.be/>)

549 Dix, K.J., Coleman, D.P., Jeffcoat, A.R., 1999. Comparative metabolism and disposition of gemfibrozil in
 550 male and female Sprague-Dawley rats and Syrian golden hamsters. *Drug Metabolism and*
 551 *Disposition* 27, 138-146.

552 Eichelbaum, M., Tomson, T., Tybring, G., Bertilsson, L., 1985. Carbamazepine metabolism in man.
 553 Induction and pharmacogenetic aspects. *Clinical Pharmacokinetics* 10, 80-90.

554 Escher, B.I., Fenner, K., 2011. Recent advances in environmental risk assessment of transformation
 555 products. *Environmental Science and Technology* 45, 3835-3847.

556 European Medicines Agency, 1997. Trimethoprim. Summary Report (2). European Agency for the
 557 Evaluation of Medicinal Products, London, p. 10.

558 European Medicines Agency, 1998. Lincomycin - Summary Report. European Medicines Agency,,
 559 London, p. 10.

560 Grubb, N.G., Rudy, D.W., Brater, D.C., Hall, S.D., 1999. Stereoselective pharmacokinetics of ketoprofen
 561 and ketoprofen glucuronide in end-stage renal disease: Evidence for a 'futile cycle' of elimination.
 562 *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 48, 494-500.

563 Heberer, T., 2002. Occurrence, fate, and removal of pharmaceutical residues in the aquatic environment:
 564 A review of recent research data. *Toxicology Letters* 131, 5-17.

565 Howell, S.R., Husbands, G.E.M., Scatina, J.A., Sisenwine, S.F., 1993. Metabolic disposition of 14C-
 566 venlafaxine in mouse, rat, dog, rhesus monkey and man. *Xenobiotica* 23, 349-359.

567 Kugathas, S., Williams, R.J., Sumpter, J.P., 2012. Prediction of environmental concentrations of
 568 glucocorticoids: The River Thames, UK, as an example. *Environment International* 40, 15-23.

569 Lamp, K.C., Freeman, C.D., Klutman, N.E., Lacy, M.K., 1999. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics
 570 of the nitroimidazole antimicrobials. *Clinical Pharmacokinetics* 36, 353-373.

571 Larsson, D.G.J., de Pedro, C., Paxeus, N., 2007. Effluent from drug manufactures contains extremely
 572 high levels of pharmaceuticals. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 148, 751-755.

573 Liebig, M., Moltmann, J.F., Knacker, T., 2006. Evaluation of measured and predicted environmental
 574 concentrations of selected human pharmaceuticals and personal care products. *Environmental*
 575 *Science and Pollution Research* 13, 110-119.

576 Lienert, J., Gudel, K., Escher, B.I., 2007. Screening method for ecotoxicological hazard assessment of
 577 42 pharmaceuticals considering human metabolism and excretory routes. *Environmental Science*
 578 and Technology 41, 4471-4478.

579 Lintz, W., Erlacin, S., Frankus, E., Uragg, H., 1981. Biotransformation of tramadol in man and animal.
 580 *Metabolismus von tramadol bei mensch und tier* 31, 1932-1943.

581 Mezcua, M., Malato, O., Garcia-Reyes, J.F., Molina-Diaz, A., Fernandez-Alba, A.R., 2009. Accurate-
 582 mass databases for comprehensive screening of pesticide residues in food by fast liquid
 583 chromatography time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Analytical Chemistry* 81, 913-929.

584 Miege, C., Choubert, J.M., Ribeiro, L., Coquery, M., 2009. Fate of pharmaceuticals and personal care
 585 products in wastewater treatment plants - conception of a database and first results.
 586 *Environmental Pollution* 157, 1721-1726.

587 Monteiro, S.C., Boxall, A.B.A., 2010. Occurrence and fate of human pharmaceuticals in the environment.
 588 *Rev Environmental Contamination Toxicology* 202, 53-154.

589 Mossialos, E., Mrazek, M., Walley, T., 2004. regulating pharmaceuticals in Europe: striving for efficiency,
 590 equity and quality. WHO on behalf of the European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies,
 591 Cornwall, Great Britain, p. 395.

592 Onesios, K.M., Yu, J.T., Bouwer, E.J., 2009. Biodegradation and removal of pharmaceuticals and
 593 personal care products in treatment systems: a review. *Biodegradation* 20, 441-466.

594 Oosterhuis, M., Sacher, F., ter Laak, T.L., 2013. Prediction of concentration levels of metformin and other
 595 high consumption pharmaceuticals in wastewater and regional surface water based on sales data.
 596 *Science of the Total Environment* 442, 380-388.

597 Pomiès, M., Choubert, J.M., Wisniewski, C., Coquery, M., 2013. Modelling of micropollutant removal in
 598 biological wastewater treatments: A review. *Science of the Total Environment* 443, 733-748.

599 Prescott, L.F., 1980. Kinetics and metabolism of paracetamol and phenacetin. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 10,
 600 291S-298S.

601 Radjenovic, J., Petrovic, M., Barceló³, D., 2007. Analysis of pharmaceuticals in wastewater and removal
 602 using a membrane bioreactor. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry* 387, 1365-1377.

603 RIWA Maas, 2009. Stroomgebiedsbeheersplan voor het internationale district van de Maas -
 604 Overkoepelend deel. RIWA Maas, Liege, Belgium.

605 Roig, B., 2010. Pharmaceuticals in the environment - Current knowledge and need assessment to
 606 reduce presence and impact. IWA, London, UK, p. 198.

607 Rosal, R., Rodriguez, A., Perdigon-Melon, J.A., Petre, A., Garcia-Calvo, E., Gomez, M.J., Aguera, A.,
 608 Fernandez-Alba, A.R., 2010. Occurrence of emerging pollutants in urban wastewater and their
 609 removal through biological treatment followed by ozonation. *Water Research* 44, 578-588.

610 Rúa-Gómez, P.C., Püttmann, W., 2012. Occurrence and removal of lidocaine, tramadol, venlafaxine, and
 611 their metabolites in German wastewater treatment plants. *Environmental Science and Pollution*
 612 *Research* 19, 689-699.

613 Scheurer, M., Michel, A., Brauch, H.J., Ruck, W., Sacher, F., 2012. Occurrence and fate of the
 614 antidiabetic drug metformin and its metabolite guanilurea in the environment and during drinking
 615 water treatment. *Water Research* 46, 4790-4802.

616 Scheurer, M., Sacher, F., Brauch, H.-J., 2009. Occurrence of the antidiabetic drug metformin in sewage
 617 and surface waters in Germany. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring* 11, 1608-1613.

618 SPGE, 2006. PASH Plan d'assainissement par sous-bassin hydrographique; sous-bassin de la Meuse
 619 Aval. Société Publique de Gestion de l'Eau.

620 Sui, Q., Huang, J., Deng, S., Chen, W., Yu, G., 2011. Seasonal variation in the occurrence and removal
 621 of pharmaceuticals and personal care products in different biological wastewater treatment
 622 processes. *Environmental Science and Technology* 45, 3341-3348.

623 ter Laak, T.L., Tolkamp, H., Hofman, J., 2013. Geneesmiddelen in de Watercyclus in Limburg - Fase 1:
 624 Voorkomen, herkomst en ernst van geneesmiddelen in het watersysteem. KWR Watercycle
 625 Research Institute, Nieuwegein, The Netherlands, p. 86.

626 ter Laak, T.L., Van der Aa, M., Stoks, P., Houtman, C., van Wezel, A.P., 2010. Relating environmental
 627 concentrations of pharmaceuticals to consumption: A mass balance approach for the river Rhine.
 628 *Environment International* 36, 403-409.

629 Tu, Z.G., Zhao, L.L., 1995. Metoprolol α -hydroxylation capacity in 96 Chinese Han volunteers. *Acta*
 630 *Pharmacologica Sinica* 16, 325-329.

631 van Batenburg-Eddes, T., van den Berg-Jeths, A., van der Veen, A.A., Verheij, R.A., de Neeling, A.,
 632 2002. Consumption in the Netherlands, regional variations in consumption of pharmaceuticals.
 633 "Slikken in Nederland. Regionale variaties in geneesmiddelengebruik". RIVM, Bilthoven, p. 76.

634 Van den Berg Jeths, A., Van Batenburg-Eddes, T., 2003. The Netherlands swallows differently. Regional
 635 variations in cost and usage of medicines. Pharmaceutisch weekblad 138, 840-844.

636 Van Loenen, A.C., 2008. Farmacotherapeutisch Kompas. CVZ.

637 Vergouwen, A.A., Pieters, B.J., Kools, S., 2011. Inventarisatie van emissie van geneesmiddelen uit
 638 zorginstellingen. ZORG, Deel C. STOWA, Amersfoort, The Netherlands.

639 Verlicchi, P., Al Aukidy, M., Zambello, E., 2012. Occurrence of pharmaceutical compounds in urban
 640 wastewater: Removal, mass load and environmental risk after a secondary treatment-A review.
 641 Science of the Total Environment 429, 123-155.

642 Watkinson, A.J., Murby, E.J., Costanzo, S.D., 2007. Removal of antibiotics in conventional and advanced
 643 wastewater treatment: Implications for environmental discharge and wastewater recycling. Water
 644 Research 41, 4164-4176.

645 Wick, A., Fink, G., Joss, A., Siegrist, H., Ternes, T.A., 2009. Fate of beta blockers and psycho-active
 646 drugs in conventional wastewater treatment. Water Research 43, 1060-1074.

647

648